scientific reports

Check for updates

OPEN Identification of pathogenic genes in Campylobacter jejuni isolated from broiler carcasses and broiler slaughterhouses

Yuli Melisa Sierra-Arguello¹, Gustavo Perdoncini¹, Laura Beatriz Rodrigues², Luciana Ruschel dos Santos², Karen Apellanis Borges¹, Thales Quedi Furian¹, Carlos Tadeu Pippi Salle¹, Hamilton Luiz de Souza Moraes¹, Marcos José Pereira Gomes^{3,4} & Vladimir Pinheiro do Nascimento¹

Campylobacter jejuni is one of the most common causes of foodborne diseases worldwide. There are few reports on Campylobacter strains isolated from Latin-American countries. Here, 140 C. jejuni strains isolated from cloacal and transport boxes swabs, water from chiller tanks, and broiler carcasses of five poultry companies in Southern Brazil were identified using phenotypic and genotypic methods. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was used to analyze eight C. jejuni virulence markers: flaA, cadF, and invasion-associated (iam) genes, cdtABC operon (associated with the cytolethal distending toxin), and plasmidial virB11 and wlaN genes were present in 78.5%, 77.8%, 0%, 74.2%, 22.1%, and 10.7% of samples, respectively. There were 25 different virulence profiles: 1 (cdtA, cdtB, cdtC, flaA, and cadF), 2 (cdtA, cdtB, cdtC, flaA, cadF, and virB11), and 3 (cdtA, cdtB, cdtC, flaA, cadF, and wlaN) were the most common (> 60% of strains). We provide insight into factors related to the occurrence of this pathogen and their epidemiology.

Campylobacter spp. are widespread in nature and are commensal organisms, present in the gut of many birds and domestic animals. However, campylobacteriosis is a globally distributed disease that has a significant impact on public health¹⁻³. Currently, there are 17 species and six subspecies assigned to the genus *Campylobacter*, of which the most frequently reported to cause human diseases are C. jejuni (subspecies jejuni) and C. coli³. Infections by *C. jejuni* are one of the most common causes of foodborne diarrheal illness in humans¹⁻³. Other clinical presentations of Campylobacter infection include meningitis, septicemia, localized extraintestinal infections, reactive arthritis or Reiter's syndrome^{4,5}, and immune-reactive complications, such as Guillain-Barré Syndrome (GBS), and its variant, Miller Fisher Syndrome (MFS)^{6,7}.

Campylobacter can enter the food chain of humans in different ways, and poultry products are considered the most important source of C. jejuni infection in humans^{2,8,9}. The development of the poultry industry, due to the improvements obtained in the genetics of birds, and also in the nutrition and management systems, enables a large-scale poultry production. However, high density and confinement systems favor the introduction and spread of pathogens. Human infection may occur by consumption of raw or undercooked poultry, or by cross-contamination with C. jejuni from these products^{2,10-12}. The slaughter stages that are considered critical contamination points are scalding, plucking, evisceration, washing, and chilling¹²

Despite its public health importance, virulence factors and mechanisms of C. jejuni pathogenesis remain poorly studied in Latin-American countries¹³, especially regarding their occurrence among poultry companies and the different sources of isolation throughout the slaughtering process. The majority of virulence factors are associated with motility, chemotaxis, adhesion and colonization of intestinal epithelial cells, invasion and translocation capabilities, production of toxins and secreted proteins, and other mechanisms essential for bacterial survival^{14,15}. Other pathogenic mechanisms, such as the production of enterotoxins and cytotoxins, and the ability to adhere and invade epithelial cells, have been proposed to play a role in enteritis^{16,17}. The cytolethal

¹Centro de Diagnóstico e Pesquisa em Patologia Aviária, Faculdade de Veterinária, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Av. Bento Goncalves 8824, Porto Alegre, Rio Grande do Sul CEP 91540-000, Brazil. ²Faculdade de Veterinária, Universidade de Passo Fundo, Passo Fundo, Brazil. ³Laboratório de Bacteriologia Veterinária, Faculdade de Veterinária, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil. ⁴Marcos José Pereira Gomes is deceased. [⊠]email: karen.borges@ufrgs.br

distending toxin (CDT) holotoxin consists of three subunits encoded by the genes *cdt*A, *cdt*B, and *cdt*C, which are genetically arranged as an operon^{18,19}. The expression of all three *cdt* genes is required for maximum toxin activity²⁰. The *cdtA* and *cdtC* gene products are two subunits responsible for toxin binding to the cell membrane and for the delivery of the *cdtB* gene product (CdtB), which is the enzymatically active subunit¹⁴.

Campylobacter exhibits bipolar flagella composed of a hook–basal body complex, which is composed of several different proteins, and the extracellular filament structural components, which are composed of a major flagellin protein, FlaA (coded by *flaA*), and a minor flagellin protein, FlaB (coded by *flaB*)¹⁴. The *flaA* gene seems to be highly conserved among *Campylobacter* isolates, and its transcription level is usually higher than that of *flaB*^{11,21}. Thus, flagella are crucial for the attachment to intestinal epithelial cells and are involved not only in motility and chemotaxis, but also in the secretion of virulence proteins, autoagglutination, microcolony formation, and avoidance of the innate immune response²². The *cadF* (*Campylobacter adhesion to fibronectin F*) gene encodes an adhesion and fibronectin-binding protein involved in the process of invasion, influencing the microfilament organization in host cells^{14,23}. Another virulence gene linked to *Campylobacter* invasiveness is the *invasion-associated marker (iam)* gene²⁴. It has been suggested that the pVir plasmid, which encodes for proteins of a type IV secretion system, and the mutation of the plasmid *vir*B11 gene results in a reduced adherence and invasion potential in vitro, as well as in less severe symptoms in vivo²⁵. The *wlaN* gene is presumably involved in the expression of ganglioside mimics in Guillain–Barré Syndrome and may encode β-1,3-galactosyltransferases with identical enzymatic activities^{26,27}.

Considering that *Campylobacter* may be transferred from animals to humans, and that poultry is its main reservoir^{2,8}, it is important to know the genetic profile of circulating strains in broiler populations and whether all *Campylobacter* isolates obtained from different companies and sources of isolation have the same virulence potential. In addition, many aspects concerning epidemiology, public health significance, and risk assessment studies are recent and still poorly known in Brazil, and there are no Brazilian legal standards established regarding *Campylobacter* presence or counts in food products. The purpose of this study was to determine whether eight genes previously identified as coding pathogenic markers in *Campylobacter* were present in the 140 samples obtained from several broiler sources and collected from five poultry companies using polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

Results

Out of a total of 140 screened samples, all of them were confirmed as having *Campylobacter jejuni* species. This method is based on the detection of 16S rRNA and *map*A genes. The occurrence of the virulence factor genes *flaA*, *cadF*, *iam*, *virB*11, *wla*N, and the gene cluster *cdt*ABC was analyzed, and the virulence profiles that were observed are described in Table 1. Distribution of *C. jejuni* strains has shown 25 different virulence profiles. Profiles 1 (*cdtA*, *cdtB*, *cdtC*, *flaA*, and *cadF*), 2 (*cdtA*, *cdtB*, *cdtC*, *flaA*, *cadF*, and *virB*11), and 3 (*cdtA*, *cdtB*, *cdtC*, *flaA*, *cadF*, and *wla*N) were the most common, representing 30% (42/140), 20% (28/140), and 10.7% (15/140) of the strains, respectively. Together, these three profiles represented more than 60% of the strains. The distribution of profiles according to the poultry company is described in Table 1. For companies A, D, and E, the most common profile was 1, corresponding to 36%, 37.5%, and 80% of the strains, respectively. For company B, profile 2 was the most common (33%), and for company C, profiles 3 (26.4%) and 1 (24.5%) were the most common.

The results showed that only one strain did not present any virulence markers. A total of 61.4% (86/140) of *C. jejuni* strains possessed five or six virulence determinants, 24.3% (34/140) presented three or four virulence-associated genes and the presence of one or two genes was detected in 13.6% (19/140) of isolates. No strain had more than six virulence markers.

The cytotoxin-encoding cluster *cdt*ABC was detected in 74.3% (104/140) of the isolates. The frequency rates found for *cdt*A, *cdt*B, and *cdt*C were 85% (119/140), 80% (112/140), and 92.1% (129/140), respectively. The *flaA* and *cadF* genes were found in 78.6% (110/140) and 77.9% (109/140) of the strains, respectively. The *virB11* and *wlaN* genes were significantly (p = 0.0001) less frequent, and were detected in 22.1% (31/140) and 10.7% (15/140) of strains, respectively. Moreover, *iam* was not detected in any of the investigated strains.

The PCR detection results of eight virulence-associated genes are described in Tables 2 and 3, according to the source and company from which they were isolated. The comparison among isolation sources has shown no significant differences, regardless the virulence gene (Table 2). Significant differences in gene frequency among the isolation companies were observed for *vir*B11 between companies B and C (p=0.0013); in addition, *cdt*B were significantly less common in company B (p=0.002) (Table 3).

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to determine the presence of *C. jejuni* virulence markers as indicators of the potential role of these strains in the pathogenesis of human diseases. An understanding of the epidemiology of all foodborne zoonotic agents is essential for the implementation of control strategies and interventions²⁸. Phylogenetic studies have demonstrated a large heterogeneity among isolates of the same species, with the absence of clones, indicating a high level of diversity of circulating genotypes²⁹. Previous studies have demonstrated the increased pathogenic potential of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolates from Brazilian poultry during the last decade³⁰. In the present study, the identification and frequency of this pathogen throughout the slaughter line revealed potential virulence-associated markers, emphasizing the zoonotic risk of avian-derived *Campylobacter* strains.

Similar to previous reports³¹, the *fla*A gene presented a high frequency (78.6%) in this study. The bacterial flagellum and the virulence-associated injectisome are complex and structurally related to nanomachines that bacteria use for locomotion or the translocation of virulence factors into eukaryotic host cells³². It has been suggested that *Campylobacter* can survive under strong acid-shock conditions, and this is linked with the increased transcription of a subset of flagellar biosynthetic genes and stress responses, as well as the downregulation of

| | | Distribut | tion | Distribution according to the poultry company % (n) | | | | | | |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|------|---|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--|--|
| Profile number | Virulence profile | n=140 | % | A (n=25) | B (n=15) | C (n=53) | D (n=42) | E(n=5) | | |
| 1 | cdtA, cdtB, cdtC, flaA, cadF | 42 | 30,0 | 36 (9) | 6.7 (1) | 24.5 (13) | 35.7 (15) | 80 (4) | | |
| 2 | cdtA, cdtB, cdtC, flaA, cadF, virB11 | 28 | 20,0 | 16 (4) | 33 (5) | 11.3 (6) | 30.9 (13) | 0 | | |
| 3 | cdtA, cdtB, cdtC, flaA, cadF, wlaN | 15 | 10,7 | 0 | 0 | 26.4 (14) | 0 | 20 (1) | | |
| 4 | cdtA, cdtB, cdtC, cadF | 9 | 6,4 | 4 (1) | 0 | 1.9 (1) | 9.5 (4) | 0 | | |
| 5 | cdtA, cdtB, cdtC, flaA | 6 | 4,3 | 4 (1) | 0 | 5.7 (3) | 9.5 (4) | 0 | | |
| 6 | cdtA, cdtB, cdtC | 5 | 3,6 | 0 | 6.7 (1) | 7.5 (4) | 2.4 (1) | 0 | | |
| 7 | cdtA, cdtC, flaA, cadF | 4 | 2,9 | 16 (4) | 0 | 7.5 (4) | 0 | 0 | | |
| 8 | cdtB, cdtC | 4 | 2,9 | 0 | 0 | 3.8 (2) | 0 | 0 | | |
| 9 | cdtA, cdtC | 3 | 2,1 | 0 | 6.7 (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 10 | cdtA, cdtC, cadF | 3 | 2,1 | 0 | 6.7 (1) | 0 | 4.8 (2) | 0 | | |
| 11 | flaA | 3 | 2,1 | 4 (1) | 6.7 (1) | 1.9 (1) | 0 | 0 | | |
| 12 | cdtA, flaA, cadF | 2 | 1,4 | 4 (1) | 6.7 (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 13 | cdtB, cdtC, flaA | 2 | 1,4 | 4 (1) | 0 | 1.9 (1) | 0 | 0 | | |
| 14 | cdtC, flaA | 2 | 1,4 | 0 | 0 | 1.9 (1) | 2.4 (1) | 0 | | |
| 15 | cdtC | 2 | 1,4 | 0 | 6.7 (1) | 0 | 2.4 (1) | 0 | | |
| 16 | flaA, cadF | 1 | 0,7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.4 (1) | 0 | | |
| 17 | cdtC, flaA, cadF | 1 | 0,7 | 4(1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 18 | cdtA, cdtC, flaA, cadF, virB11 | 1 | 0,7 | 0 | 6.7 (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 19 | cadF, virB11 | 1 | 0,7 | 0 | 6.7 (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 20 | flaA, cadF, virB11 | 1 | 0,7 | 0 | 6.7 (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 21 | cdtB, cdtC, cadF | 1 | 0,7 | 0 | 0 | 1.9 (1) | 0 | 0 | | |
| 22 | cdtA, flaA | 1 | 0,7 | 0 | 0 | 1.9 (1) | 0 | 0 | | |
| 23 | cdtA | 1 | 0,7 | 4 (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 24 | cdtB | 1 | 0,7 | 0 | 0 | 1.9 (1) | 0 | 0 | | |
| 25 | No virulence genes detected | 1 | 0,7 | 4(1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

Table 1. Distribution of virulence profile among Campylobacter jejuni strains.

| | Frequency (%) of positive of strains (n/N) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|-----|---------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Source of isolation | | flaA | cadF | cdtA | cdtB | cdtC | cdtABC | iam | virB11 | wlaN | | |
| Cloacal swab (n = 5) | | 80 (4/5) ^a | 80 (4/5) ^a | 80 (4/5) ^a | 100 (5/5) ^a | 100 (5/5) ^a | 60 (3/5) ^a | 0 | 40 (2/5) ^a | 0 | | |
| Swab of broiler transpo | Swab of broiler transportation cage $(n=3)$ | | 100 (3/3) ^a | 100 (3/3) ^a | 66.7 (2/3) ^a | 100 (3/3) ^a | 66.7 (2/3) ^a | 0 | 0 | 33.3 (1/3) ^a | | |
| | Scalding (n=3) | 66.7 (2/3) ^a | 33.3 (1/3) ^a | 66.7 (2/3) ^a | 33.3 (1/3) ^a | 33.3 (1/3) ^a | 33.3 (1/3) ^a | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Plucking (n=9) | 100 (9/9) ^a | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 33.3 (3/9) ^a | | | | | | | | |
| Broiler carcasses | Evisceration (n=7) | 85.7 (6/7) ^a | 71.4 (5/7) ^a | 85.7 (6/7) ^a | 85.7 (6/7) ^a | 85.7 (6/7) ^a | 85.7 (6/7) ^a | 0 | 28.6 (2/7) ^a | 0 | | |
| through slaughter | Spray-washing (n = 7) | 71.4 (5/7) ^a | 57.1 (4/7) ^a | 71.4 (5/7) ^a | 85.7 (6/7) ^a | 100 (7/7) ^a | cdtABC 60 (3/5) ^a 66.7 (2/3) ^a 33.3 (1/3) ^a 100 (9/9) ^a 85.7 (6/7) ^a 71.4 (5/7) ^a 69.4 (34/49) ^a 87.5 (35/40) ^a 52.9 (9/17) ^a | 0 | 0 | 28.6 (2/7) ^a | | |
| process (II=115) | Cooled carcasses (n=49) | 77.6 (38/49) ^a | 79.6 (39/49) ^a | 83.7 (41/49) ^a | 75.5 (37/49) ^a | 89.8 (44/49) ^a | 69.4 (34/49) ^a | 0 | 16.3 (8/49)ª | 12.2 (6/49) ^a | | |
| | Cooled cuts (n=40) | 80 (32/40) ^a | 80 (32/40) ^a | 92.5 (37/40) ^a | 87.5 (35/40) ^a | 97.5 (39/40) ^a | 87.5 (35/40) ^a | 0 | 32.5 (13/40) ^a | 0 | | |
| Chiller tank processing water $(n = 17)$ | | 70.6 (12/17) ^a | 70.6 (12/17) ^a | 70.5 (12/17) ^a | 70.6 (12/17) ^a | 88.2 (15/17) ^a | 52.9 (9/17) ^a | 0 | 17.6 (3/17) ^a | 17.6 (3/17) ^a | | |

Table 2. Distribution of virulence markers among *Campylobacter jejuni* strains, according to the source of isolation. Different letters in the same column indicate significant differences (p < 0.005) among source of isolation (Fisher's exact test; adjusted p-value).

genes involved in cell division and metabolism³³. The flagellum not only has a distinct function in bacterial motility and cell binding, but also acts as a type III secretion system (T3SS)^{9,34}. Flagellin is a potent activator of a broad range of host cell types involved in innate and adaptive immunity³⁵. Rizal et al.¹⁷ examined the presence of the *flaA* factor in *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* derived from chicken and human isolates and obtained a 100% prevalence. Other authors have examined the presence of factors in *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* derived from humans, poultry meat, broiler, and bovine feces and obtained similar results²⁴. Datta et al.²⁷ also determined this factor in a group of 111 *C. jejuni* strains isolated from different sources and found that all of them were positive. Previous reports in Brazil have indicated that *flaA* is present in approximately 80% of *Campylobacter* spp. isolates from human and poultry samples^{30,36}.

| Poultry | Frequency (%) of positive of strains (n/N) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| company | flaA | cadF | cdtA cdtB cdtC cdtABC | | iam | virB11 | wlaN | | | | | |
| A (n=25) | 92 (23/25) ^a | 84 (21/25) ^a | 80 (20/25) ^a | 60 (15/25) ^a | 88 (22/25) ^a | 56 (14/25) ^a | 0 | 16 (4/25) ^{a,b} | 0 | | | |
| B (n=15) | 66.7 (10/15) ^a | 73.3 (11/15) ^a | 73.3 (11/15) ^a | 46.7 (7/15) ^b | 73.3 (11/15) ^a | 46.7 (7/15) ^a | 0 | 53.3 (8/15) ^b | 0 | | | |
| C (n=53) | 71.7 (38/53) ^a | 71.7 (38/53) ^a | 83 (44/53) ^a | 90.6 (48/53) ^a | 94.3 (50/53) ^a | 77.4 (41/53) ^a | 0 | 11.3 (6/53) ^a | 26.4 (14/53) ^a | | | |
| D (n=42) | 81 (34/42) ^a | 81 (34/42) ^a | 92.9 (39/42) ^a | 88.1 (37/42) ^a | 97.6 (41/42) ^a | 88.1 (37/42) ^a | 0 | 31 (13/42) ^{a,b} | 0 | | | |
| E (n=5) | 100 (5/5) ^a | 100 (5/5) ^a | 100 (5/5) ^a | 100 (5/5) ^a | 100 (5/5) ^a | 100 (5/5) ^a | 0 | 0 | 20 (1/5) ^a | | | |

Table 3. Distribution of virulence markers among *Campylobacter jejuni* strains, according to the poultry company. Different letters in the same column indicate significant differences (p < 0.010) among poultry companies (Fisher's exact test; adjusted p-value).

.....

Another virulence gene examined in this study was *cad*F, one of the markers determining the adherence of *Campylobacter*. This gene was present in 77.9% of the isolates analyzed, similarly to previously reported results³⁷⁻³⁹. Previous reports in Japan, Australia, India, Poland, and Brazil have indicated that *cad*F is present in almost all *C. jejuni* isolates from poultry samples^{17,24,27,30,35}. This gene encodes an adhesion factor used by *C. jejuni* to attach and, eventually, invade mammalian cells by binding to fibronectin, a component of the extracellular matrix^{34,35}. Investigations reported that Δcad F mutant strains were not able to colonize the gut of chickens⁴⁰. Monteville et al.²³ verified that the adhesion and transmigration of Δcad F mutant strain to human INT-407 cells was reduced by 50%, when compared to a wild-type strain. Thus, the *cad*F gene, which appears to be essential for chicken gut colonization, may presumably have a similar role in the pathogenesis of human infection⁴¹. This gene is also an important mediator of material and information transfers between cells and their environment, and between compartments within cells. These surface-exposed proteins are conserved in *C. jejuni* strains and are also highly immunogenic in chicks⁴².

A bacterial toxin, CDT, may potentially play a role in disease development. This cytotoxin arrests eukaryotic cells to the G2 phase of the cell cycle, preventing them from entering mitosis, and leading to cell death. The toxin was named according to the morphological changes associated with its cytoplasmic distension action and its function is well documented^{8,43}. In the present study, 74.3% of the *C. jejuni* strains carried the *cdt* complex. Datta et al.²⁷ detected a 100% frequency of these genes in their studied samples, which included chicken feces, cattle feces, and human clinical samples. Rozynek et al.⁴¹ found a 100% prevalence in isolates from broiler carcasses. More than 76% of samples isolated from different sources were positive for *cdtA*, *cdt*B, and *cdt*C²⁴. Previous studies have demonstrated extremely high rates of occurrence of this complex in *C. jejuni* isolated from several sources, including humans and poultry^{35,44}. In Brazil, there are few studies on CDT in *C. jejuni*. Carvalho et al.⁴⁵ stated that only 36.4% of *C. jejuni* samples from Brazilian broiler carcasses contained the CDT complex. In contrast, Melo et al.³⁰ showed that almost all *Campylobacter* strains presented the *cdt* complex.

A significant percentage of *C. jejuni* carries the *vir*B11 gene localized on the pVir plasmid. The pVir plasmid encodes several genes that are homologous to a type IV secretion system and contributes to the ability of *Campylobacter jejuni* subsp. *jejuni* 81–176 to invade INT–407 cells in vitro, a marker that was correlated with virulence in a diarrheal disease ferret model^{25,46}. Moreover, other studies showed both an association⁴⁷ and a lack of association⁴⁸ between the pVir plasmid and bloody diarrhea in *C. jejuni* enteritis. We found this gene in 22.1% of *C. jejuni* isolates. The occurrence of *vir*B11 varies among studies. In 2008, Wieczorek and Osek²⁴ detected this gene in 18.5% of strains. Ten years later, the same authors found this gene in less than 5% of strains³⁵. A small percentage of this marker in poultry samples has also been reported by other authors^{27,41}. The reasons underlying this significant variation are still unknown.

The *iam* gene is a genetic marker that is preferentially associated with adherence and invasion of HEp-2 cells in vitro⁴⁹. There is a good correlation between the clinical presentation of diarrhea and the isolation of *Campylobacter* strains that adhere to and invade HEp-2 cells. However, the involvement and function of the *iam* marker in the process of campylobacteriosis have not been precisely explained^{17,50}. In this study, the *iam* sequence was not detected in any of the investigated isolates. Rozynek et al.⁴¹ detected this gene in 53.8% of *C. jejuni* samples isolated from chickens. The presence of this molecular marker is not restricted to *C. jejuni*, but is also present in *C. coli* and *C. lari*. Wieczorek and Osek²⁴ showed the presence of this gene in 66% of the investigated strains. It was found that the marker was predominant in *C. coli* (82.9% of the isolates were positive), whereas only 46.7% of *C. jejuni* strains possessed this gene. The variable occurrence depends not only on the origin, but also on the isolated *Campylobacter* species. The fact that in some invasive strains the gene was not identified using PCR supports the existence of important polymorphisms and high heterogeneity in the *iam* locus or suggests that other genetic markers of invasion may occur in different loci⁴⁵. The genetic characterization of *C. jejuni* through whole-genome sequencing (WGS) would probably result in the detection of this marker. Previous studies have detected *iam* in 99% of *Campylobacter* strains, showing that WGS has a high discriminatory power for this genus⁵¹.

The *wla*N gene is involved in variations of the lipooligosaccharide structure, facilitating the avoidance of *C. jejuni* in the host immune system. Our results showed that this marker was present in 10.7% of the tested *C. jejuni* strains. According to the investigation by Datta et al.²⁷, this gene was present in 4.7% of isolates from broiler feces and in 23.8% of poultry meat isolates. The presence of the gene can vary depending on the geographic area and on the high rate of polymorphisms within *C. jejuni* strains. Koga et al.⁵⁰ reported that the genetic polymorphism of *C. jejuni* determines the reactivity of autoantibodies and the clinical presentation of the Guillain–Barré syndrome, possibly through the modification of the host molecule mimic. Importantly, these positive isolates may have a higher pathogenic potential, and therefore, a higher capacity to induce autoimmune disease in their

| Source of isolation | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------|------|-----|--------|-----|------|-------|---------|------|-----|------|------------|
| | Human | | | Cattle | | | Swine | Poultry | | | | |
| Virulence markers | 31 | 57 | 46 | 58 | 46 | 58 | 59 | 59 | 31a | 46 | 30b | This study |
| cdtA | 100 | 90 | - | - | - | - | 100 | 100 | 96.2 | - | - | 85 |
| cdtB | 100 | 90 | 100 | 96.1 | 100 | 96.7 | 100 | 100 | 98.1 | 100 | - | 80 |
| cdtC | 100 | 90 | - | - | - | - | 100 | 80 | 96.8 | - | - | 92.1 |
| <i>cdt</i> ABC | - | 88.7 | - | - | - | - | 86.7 | 80 | - | - | 97.7 | 74.3 |
| cadF | 100 | 97.9 | - | 98 | - | 81.4 | 100 | 100 | 98.7 | - | 97.7 | 77.9 |
| flaA | 100 | - | - | - | - | - | 100 | 100 | 98.7 | - | 84.1 | 78.6 |
| virB11 | 4.5 | - | 3.6 | 2 | 0 | 16.7 | 6.7 | 0 | 0 | 7.3 | - | 22.1 |
| wlaN | 17.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | | 12.5 | - | - | 10.7 |
| iam | 15.5 | 4 | - | 98 | - | 81.4 | - | | 8.9 | - | - | 0 |

Table 4. Relative Frequencies (%) of virulence markers in *Campylobacter jejuni* strains isolated from several sources found in other publications compared to the results of this current study. ^aResults for strains isolated from poultry carcasses only. ^bResults for strains isolated between 2015 and 2016 only.

hosts. A previous study showed a correlation between an increased capacity of cell invasion (in vitro and in vivo), and the presence of the *wla*N gene, since 80% of the strains that lack this gene presented a lower or a total lack of invasiveness⁵².

Table 4 summarizes the occurrence of virulence markers among species (human, poultry, swine, and cattle). The relative frequencies of virulence genes observed in *C. jejuni* strains in the present study were similar or slightly lower than those observed in other species. These results indicate that strains isolated from poultry sources are potential carriers of virulence genes to humans.

It is important to note that the genetic characterization of *C. jejuni*, which is based on the molecular detection of genes, corresponds to a qualitative analysis. Thus, it is not possible to establish a linear relationship between the presence of the genes and in vivo virulence. However, molecular tools for virulence marker detection are essential to determine the genetic virulence profile of the strains circulating in poultry establishments and, thus, to determine specific control measures to mitigate the risks of dissemination. It is noteworthy that this study did not perform a temporal analysis of the data, and it is not known if the relative differences are stable over time or are restricted to the time of sample collection.

Brazil has an important position in the global trade market of chicken meat. However, unlike the European Union and United States, there is no specific legislation in the country for the analysis of *Campylobacter*. Moreover, campylobacteriosis is still underreported, and epidemiological and molecular studies on the diversity and virulence of *C. jejuni* are still few in the country^{29,53}. Our results reinforce the urgent need for specific legislation adoption to prevent the spread of potentially pathogenic strains through the consumption of poultry products.

In conclusion, the present study revealed that eight potential pathogenicity genes of *C. jejuni* circulate in slaughter lines, among other poultry sources in Southern Brazil. The frequency of *C. jejuni* virulence markers, their relationship with clinical severity in humans, and the expression of virulence factors should be further investigated. The results provide more insight into factors related to the occurrence of this pathogen and in the understanding of their epidemiology.

Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions. This research was carried out in federally inspected slaughterhouses from five companies (A, B, C, D, and E) in Southern Brazil. A total of 20 visits were made to the processing plants. All selected establishments were representative of slaughterhouses located in the region; broilers were processed for production, with an average of 250,000 birds slaughtered per day, each having a standard live weight of 2.0 kg (4.4 lb). The processing line was operated under standard commercial conditions. In total, 980 samples were collected from swabs (cloacal and transportation boxes), water from the chiller tank, and carcasses throughout the slaughter line, as previously described by Borges et al.⁵³. The samples were stored in isothermal ice boxes and taken to the laboratory for identification.

Buffered peptone water (BPW) (400 mL, 0.1%) was previously added to each carcass and manually shaken in a vigorous way for 1 min. An aliquot (1 mL) of each sample (swabs, water, and carcasses) was homogenized in Bolton broth (9 mL) supplemented with antimicrobials (cefoperazone 20 mg/L, vancomycin 20 mg/L, trimethoprim 20 mg/L, cycloheximide 50 mg/L; SR0183, Oxoid) and incubated under microaerophilic conditions using a gas tank with a mixture (10% CO₂, 5% O₂, and 85% N₂) for 48 h at a temperature of 41.5 °C. After incubation, the suspension (100 μ L) was spread onto an acetate mem deoxycholate agar (brane having 0.65- μ m pores and placed on the surface of a modified charcoal cefoperazone mCCDA) plate with selective supplements (cefoperazone, 32 mg/L; amphotericin B, 10 mg/L; SR0155, Oxoid) for 30 min. The direct application of membrane filters to the surface of the plating media has been used to exclude unwanted microflora for the selective isolation of *Campylobacter*⁵⁴. Due to its reduced pores, this membrane promotes the filtration of the suspension and provides a reduction of the bacterial contamination. The plate was incubated under microaerophilic conditions at 41.5 °C for 48 h. *Campylobacter* spp. suspected colonies (grayish, often with a metallic sheen, flat, and moist, with a tendency to spread)³¹ were examined for cell morphology by using phase-contrast microscopy. Subsequently,

| Target gene | Primers | Sequence (5′→3′') | PCR conditions | Product (bp) | Reference |
|-------------|-----------------------|--|---|--------------|---|
| 16S-rRNA | MD16S1 MD16S2 | ATCTAATGGCTTAACCATTAAAC GGACGGTAACTAGTTTAGTATT | 95 °C/10 min, 35 cycles: 95 °C/30 s, | 857 | Campylobacter genus identification ^{55,60} |
| тарА | MDmapA1 MDmapA2 | CTATTTTATTTTTGAGTGCTTGTG GCTTTATTTGCCATTTGTTTTATTA | 59 °C/90 s, 72 °C/1 min, and 72 °C/10 min | 589 | C. jejuni species identification ⁵⁵ |
| flaA | flaAF flaAR | GGATTTCGTATTAACACAAATGGTGC CTGTAGTAATCTTA AACATTTTG | 94 °C/5 min, 30 cycles: 94 °C/1 min, 48 °C/1 min, 72 °C/1 min, and 72 °C/5 min | 1700 | http://campynet.vetinst.dk/Fla.htm |
| cadF | F2B R1B | TGGAGGGTAATTTAGATATG CTAATACCTAAAGTTGAAAC | 94 °C/5 min, 30 cycles: 94 °C/1 min, 54 °C/1 min, 72 °C/1 min, and 72 °C/5 min | 400 | 33 |
| iam | IAMF IAMR | GCGCAAAATATTATCACCC TTCACGACTACTATGCGG | 94 °C/5 min, 30 cycles: 94 °C/1 min, 55 °C/1 min, 72 °C/1 min, and 72 °C/5 min | 518 | 49 |
| virB11 | virBF virBR | GAACAGGAAGTGGAAAAACTAGC TTCCGCATTGGGCTATATG | 95 °C/5 min, 35 cycles: 95 °C/30 s, 53.5 °C/30 s, 72 °C/30 s, and 72 °C/5 min | 708 | 25 |
| wlaN | wlaN-DL39 Cj1139cF | TTAAGAGCAAGATATGAAGGTG TGCTGGGTATACAAAGGTTGTG | 95 °C/10 min, 25 cycles: 95 °C/30 s, 60 °C/30 s, 72 °C/1 min, and 72 °C/5 min | 434 | 54 |
| cdtA | cdtAF cdtAR | CCTTGTGATGCAAGCAATC ACACTCCATTTGCTTTCTG | 94 °C/5 min, 30 cycles: 94 °C/1 min, 49 °C/30 s, 72 °C/1 min, and 72 °C/5 min | 370 | 60 |
| cdtB | cdtBF cdtBR | CAGAAAGCAAATGGAGTGTT AGCTAAAAGCGGTGGAGTAT | 94 °C/5 min, 30 cycles: 94 °C/1 min, 51 °C/30 s, 72 °C/1 min, and 72 °C/5 min | 620 | 27 |
| cdtC | cdtCF cdtCR | CGATGAGTTAAAACAAAAAGATA TTGGCATTATAGAAAATACAGTT | 94 °C/5 min, 30 cycles: 94 °C/1 min, 47 °C/30 s, 72 °C/1 min, and 72 °C/5 min | 182 | 27 |

Table 5. List of primers and PCR conditions used in this study.

the isolates were purified using blood agar (BA) plates supplemented with 5% commercial sterile defibrinated sheep blood (Laborclin). Single colonies were picked and streaked onto BA plates, and preliminarily characterized based on their catalase reaction ability to hydrolyze hippurate and indoxyl acetate. The colonies were collected and suspended in ultrapure water (1 mL), transferred to a microtube, and frozen at -20 °C. Isolates were stored in glycerol 15% at -80 °C. The species were determined using PCR⁵⁵.

DNA extraction. The template DNA for PCR was extracted using an adapted protocol described by Borsoi et al.⁵⁶. Bacterial culture (1 mL) was boiled at 95 °C for 10 min. After centrifugation at $8000 \times g$ for 2 min, the supernatants were stored at – 20 °C and used as template DNA. The isolates were confirmed by using PCR based on the detection of the 16S rRNA and *map*A genes⁵⁵.

PCR primer design and amplification. The confirmed *Campylobacter jejuni* isolates were screened for the presence of eight pathogenic genes: *flaA*, *cadF*, *iam*, *virB*, *wlaN*, *cdtA*, *cdtB*, and *cdtC*. The primers, PCR conditions, and the length of the products generated in this study are listed in Table 5. The PCR conditions were adapted from previous studies. All PCR amplifications were performed in a mixture (25 μ L) consisting of 10×PCR Buffer [3 μ L; 200 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.4), 500 mM KCl], Taq thermostable DNA polymerase (0.3 μ L, 5 U/ μ L), MgCl₂ (1.2 μ L, 25 mM), dNTPs (2.5 μ L, 2.5 mM), extracted template DNA (2 μ L) and each of the primers (0.5 μ L each, 10 pmol/L). Sterile Milli-Q water was added in sufficient quantity to achieve a volume of 25 μ L. All amplification reactions were performed in a thermal cycler. The cycles were performed as described in Table 2. For the visualization of the PCR products, 10 μ L aliquots were subjected to electrophoresis in a 2% agarose gel in Tris–acetylated EDTA (TAE) buffer. DNA bands were stained with ethidium bromide for 2 h at 100 V, viewed under an ultraviolet transilluminator, and photographed. The size of the PCR amplicons was compared to that of the 100 bp DNA ladder.

Statistical analysis. The obtained data were subjected to statistical analysis using the PASW Statistics software (IBM, Hong Kong). Descriptive statistics (frequency distribution) was used to determine the presence of the virulence genes according to the source and the poultry company from which the sample was isolated. Chi-square (χ^2) and Fisher's exact tests were used to compare the frequencies of virulence-associated genes among each other and among sources and companies from which samples were isolated. Statistical significance was defined at a *p* < 0.05, and Bonferroni correction was applied to adjust confidence intervals for multiple hypothesis testing. Adjusted *p*-values are indicated at each Table.

Data availability

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 10 March 2020; Accepted: 8 February 2021 Published online: 25 February 2021

References

1. European Food Safety Authority, European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. The European union one health 2018 zoonoses report. *EFSA J* 17, 1–276 (2019).

- Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention. Campylobacter (Campylobacteriosis). 2019. US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, Atlanta, Georgia. https://www.cdc.gov/campylobacter/faq.html. Accessed 1 Feb 2019.
- World Health Organization. Campylobacter. WHO, Geneva. http://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/campylobacter. Accessed 1 Feb 2019.
- Rees, J. H., Soudain, S. E., Gregson, N. A. & Hughes, R. A. Campylobacter jejuni infection and Guillain–Barré syndrome. N. Engl. J. Med. 333, 1374–1379 (1995).
- Porter, C. K., Choi, D. & Riddle, M. S. Pathogen-specific risk of reactive arthritis from bacterial causes of foodborne illness. J. Rheumatol. 40, 712–714 (2013).
- 6. van den Berg, B. *et al.* Guillain–Barre syndrome: pathogenesis, diagnosis, treatment and prognosis. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* **10**, 469–482 (2014).
- Wakerley, B. R., Uncini, A. & Yuki, N. Guillain-Barré and Miller Fisher syndromes: new diagnostic classification. Nat. Rev. Neurol. 10, 537–544 (2014).
- Park, S. F. The physiology of *Campylobacter* species and its relevance to their role as foodborne pathogens. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 74, 177–188 (2002).
- 9. Backert, S. & Hofreuter, D. Molecular methods to investigate adhesion, transmigration, invasion and intracellular survival of the foodborne pathogen *Campylobacter jejuni. J. Microbiol. Methods* **95**, 8–23 (2013).
- Berrang, M. E., Buhr, R. J., Cason, J. A. & Dickens, J. A. Broiler carcass contamination with *Campylobacter* from feces during defeathering. J. Food Prot. 64, 2063–2066 (2001).
- 11. Hermans, D. et al. Colonization factors of Campylobacter jejuni in the chicken gut. Vet. Res. 42, 10-1186 (2011).
- European Food Safety Authority. Analysis of the baseline survey on the prevalence of Campylobacter in broiler batches and of Campylobacter and Salmonella on broiler carcasses in the EU, 2008. Part A. EFSA J. 8, 1503–1602 (2010).
- Levican, A. *et al.* Genomic analysis of chilean strains of *Campylobacter jejuni* from human faces. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 8, 1–12 (2019).
 Bolton, D. C. Campylobacter virulence and survival factors. *Food Microbiol.* 48, 99–108 (2015).
- Biswas, D., Townsend, H. G., Potter, A. & Allan, B. J. Genes coding for virulence determinants of *Campylobacter jejuni* in human clinical and cattle isolates from Alberta, Canada, and their potential role in colonization of poultry. *Int. Microbiol.* 14, 25–32 (2011).
- 16. Crushell, E., Harty, S., Sharif, F. & Bourke, B. Enteric Campylobacter: purging its secrets?. Pediatr. Res. 55, 3-12 (2004).
- Rizal, A., Kumar, A. & Vidyarthi, A. S. Prevalence of pathogenic genes in *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from poultry and human. *Int. J. Food Saf.* 12, 29–34 (2010).
- 18. Asakura, M. *et al.* Comparative analysis of cytolethal distending toxin (cdt) genes among *Campylobacter jejuni*, *C. coli* and *C. fetus* strains. *Microb. Path.* **42**, 174–183 (2007).
- Pickett, C. L. et al. Prevalence of cytolethal distending toxin production in Campylobacter jejuni and relatedness of Campylobacter sp. cdtB gene. Infect. Immun. 64, 2070–2078 (1996).
- Martinez, I. et al. Detection of cdtA, cdtB, and cdtC genes in Campylobacter jejuni by multiplex PCR. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 296, 45–48 (2006).
- Guerry, P., Logan, S. M., Thornton, S. & Trust, T. J. Genomic organization and expression of *Campylobacter* flagellin genes. J. Bacteriol. 172, 1853–1860 (1990).
- 22. Guerry, P. Campylobacter flagella: not just for motility. Trends Microbiol. 15, 456-461 (2007).
- Monteville, M. R., Yoon, J. E. & Konkel, M. E. Maximal adherence and invasion of INT 407 cells by *Campylobacter jejuni* requires the CadF outer-membrane protein and microfilament reorganization. *Microbiology* 149, 153–165 (2003).
- Wieczorek, K. & Osek, J. Identification of virulence genes in Campylobacter jejuni and C. coli isolates by PCR. Bull. Vet. Inst. Pulawy. 52, 211–216 (2008).
- 25. Bacon, D. J. et al. Involvement of a plasmid in virulence of Campylobacter jejuni 81-176. Infect. Immun. 68, 4384-4390 (2000).
- Linton, D., Lawson, A. J., Owen, R. J. & Stanley, J. PCR detection, identification to species level, and fingerprinting of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* direct from diarrheic samples. J. Clin. Microbiol. 35, 2568–2572 (1997).
- Datta, S., Niwa, H. & Itoh, K. Prevalence of 11 pathogenic genes of *Campylobacter jejuni* by PCR in strains isolated from humans, poultry meat and broiler and bovine faeces. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 52, 345–348 (2003).
- Wall, P. G. Essential veterinary education in food safety, food hygiene and biosecurity: a global perspective. *Rev. Sci. Tech.* 28, 493–501 (2009).
- 29. Melo, R. T. et al. Campylobacter jejuni and Campylobacter coli originated from chicken carcasses modulate their transcriptome to translate virulence genes in human cells. Pesq. Vet. Bras. **39**, 592–599 (2019).
- 30. Melo, R. T. et al. Evolution of Campylobacter jejuni of poultry origin in Brazil. Food Microbiol. 82, 489-496 (2019).
- 31. Wieczorek, K., Wołkowicz, T. & Osek, J. Antimicrobial resistance and virulence-associated traits of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from poultry food chain and humans with diarrhea. *Front. Microbiol.* 9, 1–11 (2018).
- 32. Erhardt, M., Namba, K. & Hughes, K. T. Bacterial nanomachines: The flagellum and type III injectisome. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* **2**, a000299 (2010).
- Le, M. T. et al. Acid-shock of Campylobacter jejuni induces flagellar gene expression and host cell invasion. Eur. J. Microbiol. Immunol. 2, 12–19 (2012).
- Konkel, M. E., Kim, B. J., Rivera-Amill, V. & Garvis, S. G. Identification of proteins required for the internalization of *Campylobacter jejuni* into cultured mammalian cells. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 473, 215–224 (1999).
- 35. Mizel, S. B. & Bates, J. T. Flagellin as an adjuvant: Cellular mechanisms and potential. J. Immunol. 185, 5677-5682 (2010).
- 36. Quetz, J. D. S. et al. Campylobacter jejuni infection and virulence-associated genes in children with moderate to severe diarrhea
- admitted to emergency rooms in northeastern Brazil. *J. Med. Microbiol.* **61**, 507–513 (2012). 37. Konkel, M. E. *et al.* Identification of a fibronectin-binding domain within the *Campylobacter jejuni* CadF protein. *Mol. Microbiol.*
- 57, 1022–1035 (2005).
 Chansiripornchai, N. & Sasipreeyajan, J. PCR detection of four virulence-associated genes of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolates from
- Thai broilers and their abilities of adhesion to and invasion of INT-407 cells. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* **71**, 839–844 (2009). 39. Elmal, M. & Can, H. Y. Antimicrobial susceptibility and virulence-associated genes in *Campylobacter* isolates from milk and
- wastewater in Hatay, Turkey. *Cienc. Rural* 49, 1–8 (2019).
 40. Ziprin, R. L. *et al.* The absence of cecal colonization of chicks by a mutant of *Campylobacter jejuni* not expressing bacterial fibronec-
- tin-binding protein. Avian Dis. 43, 586–589 (1999).
 41. Rozynek, E. et al. Prevalence of potential virulence markers in Polish Campylobacter jejuni and Campylobacter coli isolates obtained from hospitalized children and from chicken carcasses. J. Med. Microbiol. 54, 615–619 (2005).
- Shoaf-Sweeney, K. D., Larson, C. L., Tang, X. & Konkel, M. E. Identification of *Campylobacter jejuni* proteins recognized by maternal antibodies of chickens. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 74, 6867–6875 (2008).
- Biswas, D. *et al.* Effect of cytolethal distending toxin of *Campylobacter jejuni* on adhesion and internalization in cultured cells and in colonization of the chicken gut. *Avian Dis.* 50, 586–593 (2006).
- González-Hein, G., Huaracán, B., García, P. & Figueroa, G. Prevalence of virulence genes in strains of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from human, bovine and broiler. *Braz. J. Microbiol.* 44, 1223–1229 (2013).
- Carvalho, A. F. et al. Detection of cytolethal distending toxin genes in strains of Campylobacter jejuni isolated from broiler carcasses. Arq. Bras. Med. Vet. Zootec. 62, 1054–1061 (2010).

- Bacon, D. J. et al. DNA sequence and mutational analyses of the pVir plasmid of Campylobacter jejuni 81–176. Infect. Immun. 70, 6242–6250 (2002).
- 47. Louwen, R. P. L. *et al.* Lack of association between the presence of the pVir plasmid and bloody diarrhea in *Campylobacter jejuni* enteritis. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 44, 1867–1868 (2006).
- 48. Tracz, D. M. et al. pVir and bloody diarrhea in Campylobacter jejuni enteritis. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 11, 839-843 (2005).
- Carvalho, A. C. et al. Molecular characterization of invasive and noninvasive Campylobacter jejuni and Campylobacter coli isolates. J. Clin. Microbiol. 39, 1353–1359 (2001).
- Koga, M. et al. Campylobacter gene polymorphism as a determinant of clinical features of Guillain-Barré syndrome. Neurol. 65, 1376–1381 (2005).
- Redondo, N., Carroll, A. & McNamara, E. Molecular characterization of *Campylobacter* causing human clinical infection using whole-genome sequencing: Virulence, antimicrobial resistance and phylogeny in Ireland. *PLoS ONE* 14, 1–11 (2019).
- 52. Müller, J., Schulze, F., Müller, W. & Hänel, I. PCR detection of virulence–associated genes in *Campylobacter jejuni* strains with differential ability to invade Caco–2 cells and to colonize the chick gut. *Vet. Microbiol.* **113**, 123–129 (2006).
- Borges, K. A. *et al.* Detection and quantification of *Campylobacter* spp. in Brazilian poultry processing plants. *J. Infect. Dev. Ctries.* 14, 109–113 (2020).
- Chon, J. W. *et al.* Efficacy of syringe filtration for the selective isolation of *Campylobacter* from chicken carcass rinse. *J. Food Prot.* 80, 1050–1053 (2017).
- Denis, M. et al. Development of a m-PCR assay for simultaneous identification of Campylobacter jejuni and C. coli. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 29, 406–410 (1999).
- Borsoi, A. *et al.* Inoculation of newly hatched broiler chicks with two Brazilian isolates of *Salmonella* Heidelberg strains with different virulence gene profiles, antimicrobial resistance, and pulsed field gel electrophoresis patterns to intestinal changes evaluation. *Poult. Sci.* 88, 750–758 (2009).
- Lluque, A. *et al.* Virulence and antimicrobial resistance in *Campylobacter* spp. from a Peruvian pediatric cohort. *Science* 2017, 1–8 (2017).
- An, J. U. *et al.* Dairy Cattle, a potential reservoir of human Campylobacteriosis: Epidemiological and molecular characterization of *Campylobacter jejuni* from cattle farms. *Front. Microbiol.* 9, 1–12 (2018).
- Bang, D. D. et al. PCR detection of seven virulence and toxin genes of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* isolates from Danish pigs and cattle and cytolethal distending toxin production of the isolates. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 94, 1003–1014 (2003).
- Linton, D. et al. Phase variation of a β-1,3 galactosyltransferase involved in generation of the ganglioside GM1-like lipo-oligosaccharide of Campylobacter jejuni. Mol. Microbiol. 37, 501–514 (2000).

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES) through the concession of a Ph.D. scholarship to the first author (Grant No. 5772/10-9). The authors also wish to acknowledge the Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) for its financial support through the concession of research Grants Numbers 578124/2008-4, 303086/2013-0 and 476092/2013-2.

Author contributions

Y.M.S.A., V.P.N., M.J.P.G., C.T.P.S. and H.L.S.M. conceived and designed the experiments. Y.M.S.A., G.P. and M.J.P.G. performed the experiments. Y.M.S.A., L.B.R., L.R.S., K.A.B. and T.Q.F. analyzed the data. Y.M.S.A., K.A.B. and T.Q.F. wrote and prepared the manuscript text. All authors (Y.M.S.A., G.P., L.B.R., L.R.S., K.A.B., T.Q.F., H.L.S.M., C.T.P.S., M.J.P.G. and V.P.N.) critically reviewed and approved the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to K.A.B.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021

8

Terms and Conditions

Springer Nature journal content, brought to you courtesy of Springer Nature Customer Service Center GmbH ("Springer Nature").

Springer Nature supports a reasonable amount of sharing of research papers by authors, subscribers and authorised users ("Users"), for smallscale personal, non-commercial use provided that all copyright, trade and service marks and other proprietary notices are maintained. By accessing, sharing, receiving or otherwise using the Springer Nature journal content you agree to these terms of use ("Terms"). For these purposes, Springer Nature considers academic use (by researchers and students) to be non-commercial.

These Terms are supplementary and will apply in addition to any applicable website terms and conditions, a relevant site licence or a personal subscription. These Terms will prevail over any conflict or ambiguity with regards to the relevant terms, a site licence or a personal subscription (to the extent of the conflict or ambiguity only). For Creative Commons-licensed articles, the terms of the Creative Commons license used will apply.

We collect and use personal data to provide access to the Springer Nature journal content. We may also use these personal data internally within ResearchGate and Springer Nature and as agreed share it, in an anonymised way, for purposes of tracking, analysis and reporting. We will not otherwise disclose your personal data outside the ResearchGate or the Springer Nature group of companies unless we have your permission as detailed in the Privacy Policy.

While Users may use the Springer Nature journal content for small scale, personal non-commercial use, it is important to note that Users may not:

- 1. use such content for the purpose of providing other users with access on a regular or large scale basis or as a means to circumvent access control;
- 2. use such content where to do so would be considered a criminal or statutory offence in any jurisdiction, or gives rise to civil liability, or is otherwise unlawful;
- 3. falsely or misleadingly imply or suggest endorsement, approval, sponsorship, or association unless explicitly agreed to by Springer Nature in writing;
- 4. use bots or other automated methods to access the content or redirect messages
- 5. override any security feature or exclusionary protocol; or
- 6. share the content in order to create substitute for Springer Nature products or services or a systematic database of Springer Nature journal content.

In line with the restriction against commercial use, Springer Nature does not permit the creation of a product or service that creates revenue, royalties, rent or income from our content or its inclusion as part of a paid for service or for other commercial gain. Springer Nature journal content cannot be used for inter-library loans and librarians may not upload Springer Nature journal content on a large scale into their, or any other, institutional repository.

These terms of use are reviewed regularly and may be amended at any time. Springer Nature is not obligated to publish any information or content on this website and may remove it or features or functionality at our sole discretion, at any time with or without notice. Springer Nature may revoke this licence to you at any time and remove access to any copies of the Springer Nature journal content which have been saved.

To the fullest extent permitted by law, Springer Nature makes no warranties, representations or guarantees to Users, either express or implied with respect to the Springer nature journal content and all parties disclaim and waive any implied warranties or warranties imposed by law, including merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose.

Please note that these rights do not automatically extend to content, data or other material published by Springer Nature that may be licensed from third parties.

If you would like to use or distribute our Springer Nature journal content to a wider audience or on a regular basis or in any other manner not expressly permitted by these Terms, please contact Springer Nature at

onlineservice@springernature.com